

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,713	10/21/2003	Jon Scott	001-240	2712	
29569 75	29569 7590 08/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JEFFREY FURR 253 N. MAIN STREET JOHNSTOWN, OH 43031			NOORI, MAX H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
JOIN 1010 W11,	, 011 13051		2855		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ASN

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/605,713	SCOTT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Max Noori	2855	

E	Sefore the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	·	Max Noori	2855					
·	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE R	E REPLY FILED 08 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. 🔯 T th p a	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) 🔯		e of the final rejection.	•					
b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
have be under 3' set forth may red	extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2.	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
	<u>DMENTS</u>							
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
•	a) They raise new issues that would require further co		i E below),					
•	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(0	d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
_	NOTE: <u>The new claims recites the same limitation as of the other previously rejected claims, therefore does not deem to place the application for allowance or in any better form for appeal.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
_	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
n	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a on-allowable claim(s).							
h T	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
C	laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: <u>22-28</u> .	•						
	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. 🔲 T b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. 🔲 T e	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to decide a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the second							
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s)					
			MAX NOORI PRIMARY EXAMI	NER				
			* * ****** ** **					